

Village Hall

- what is the potential scale of income and who are the competition;
- what are the risks, opportunities, strengths and weaknesses of the Village Hall within this market;
- how will this investment beat the competition and gain real return through increased revenues;
- What is the target level of income with the enlarged kitchen facility;
- what level of income is required to replace the kitchen in the future;
- what level of business is at jeopardy from the changes to the church (i.e. what are the risks to this investment as above)
- The expressions of support for the project received by the council are from **existing** users – how will the investment make the hall more attractive to **new** users?
- Evidence is required of support from other potential **new** users who would do so if the kitchen/toilet were enhanced rather than because of other factors such as lack of parking and restriction of music hours etc
- Have all the Village Hall Trustees considered reconfiguration of the existing kitchen and bar space by moving the bar forward or removing it completely to providing more kitchen area and a staff toilet? This could achieve the objective for a much lower cost without extensive building works. Please provide a high-level estimate for this alternative solution.
- Have all the trustees looked at, and compared, the kitchen arrangements at Durweston and Stourpaine halls who are competitors for the wedding market and neither have a dedicated bar space or staff toilet and where recent local potential hirers have used rather than the Okeford hall? If so, what were the Trustees conclusions?
- The village hall balance sheet relies heavily on Fund raising and donations which accounts for around half of its total annual receipts, what evidence is available to show how this investment will make it more viable, without the dependence on these fund raising events in the event of loss of the considerable voluntary effort being available to raise these funds?

Tennis Court/MUGA

- Further information regarding costs, funding and how subscriptions would work to pay for the annual maintenance and eventual re-surfacing of the MUGA (sinking fund) are required.
- The project team have descope the MUGA – how will this facility be progressed/funded?
- Please provide a high-level estimate for construction of the MUGA
- it would be useful if a project roadmap with estimated timelines could be given including a scenario where the MUGA is constructed first followed by tennis courts
- Are there any costs for the Pavilion to be used as a clubhouse, shared with the Football club, associated with refurbishing the currently unused parts of the building that the parish council would need to fund? eg providing separate Male/Female changing and showers or toilet refurbishment.
- what is the potential scale of income and who are the competition;
- what are the risks, opportunities, strengths and weaknesses of the Tennis courts/MUGA within this market;
- how will this investment beat the competition and gain real return through increased revenues;
- What is the target level of income with tennis courts/MUGA
- what level of income is required to replace tennis courts/MUGA in the future;

Little Lane

- A revised proposal on the provision of a safe route, including the A357 crossing which details what the cost from the S106 monies would be required
- Assurance/evidence that the ongoing maintenance of little lane would not be the responsibility of the Parish Council is required.
- Written confirmation that the LEADER funds have been allocated to the project.
- What is the target level of numbers to use the improved lane.