

Minutes published unapproved for ratification at the meeting to be held on 2 February 2021

**MINUTES OF OKEFORD FITZPAINE PARISH COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AT 7.30pm VIA ZOOM ON TUESDAY 5 JANUARY 2021**

PRESENT: Cllr N Plummer (Chairman)
Cllr R Rowe (Vice-Chairman)
Cllr S Corben
Cllr D Gartside

Also present: - Mrs. Sandra Deary, Clerk, Cllr P Batstone, Dorset Council and 8 members of public

- 1 **APOLOGIES:** Cllr R Corben
- 2 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:** Members were reminded of the need to declare an interest if it was not already disclosed on their declaration of disclosable pecuniary interest form held by the Clerk.
- 3 **GRANTING OF DISPENSATIONS:** The Council resolved to delegate the power to grant dispensations to the clerk at the Parish Council Meeting held on 6 November 2012.
- 4 **MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 1 DECEMBER 2020:** having been circulated to Cllrs and website were taken as read. It was proposed by Cllr S Corben and seconded by Cllr Rowe that they be signed by the Chairman, this was agreed.

		Action
5.	<p>Dorset Council Councillor's Report: Cllr Batstone gave the following report:</p> <p>For the last few months, the work of Dorset Council has continued to be dominated by the Coronavirus epidemic, the importance of caring for our most vulnerable and also impact on the Councils finances, present and future. Some staff continue to be deployed away from their normal duties, not quite as much as in the first lockdown, but enough to impact on some services, the complaints process being one of those.</p> <p>The budget for 2021 is being worked on and going through the scrutiny process. The Council will be seeking to increase the council tax for Dorset Council by the maximum percentage permitted without the need for a referendum, 1.9% on the basic tax and 2.9% for adult and social care. Discussions have continued with central government over the financial support available to local authorities to cope with the unprecedented financial pressures of the pandemic. The Council has to come up with a balanced budget and will be drawing on its reserves to the maximum permissible. Cabinet Members and Officers are continuing to try and find transformative ways of working to improve the delivery of services, and in particular to cope with the rising needs of adult and social care, and families. In particular there are plans for currently sites incorporating a range of care leading to full residential care, working in partnership with other bodies. The Council is also working to provide facilities for children and young people needing specialist, and very expensive, residential care to get them back into the County.</p> <p>Work is continuing on the Local Plan for Dorset which sets the wider picture for housing and development in our county, responding to the overall central government requirements for housing. Efforts are being made to consult but within the constraints of the COVID-19 regulations and residents must continue to be aware that this is a consultation process, to guide the final outcome.</p> <p>Meetings, ranging from full Council to Committee Meetings, working groups or individual small discussions are being held virtually and will be until restrictions permit face to face meetings. It is clear that virtual meetings will continue to be used to save time, in particular reduce the amount of travel being undertaken. Staff will also continue to work from home where their work permits for at least part of the week. This has implications for the need for office space required by the Council and South Walks House, previously headquarters of West Dorset District Council is currently moth-balled and thought is being given to new tenants for all or part of it. Office space in Dorchester is being concentrated on County Hall. The Highways Team have been fortunate in receiving grant funding to fund the road repairs we see being undertaken around the place. Their budget for this coming year is being further cut and ways are being looked at to increase revenue, hence the recent review of parking income which eventually resulted in a decision to charge for Sunday parking but not to charge into the evening or increase charges per hour in the north Dorset Market Towns. I stood down as Chairman of Council at the end of the civic year, which in 2020 was in September not May, but obviously Civic Duties were very different and less time consuming from the end of March onwards. I had always said I thought the term should be one, maximum two years. My successor is my former Vice-Chairman, Cllr Val Potheary of Gillingham. I continue to be Secretary of the Sturminster Newton Economic Development Society which runs the two charity shops in order to raise funds to support the town and surrounding area. I also continue to chair SturBiz, the Chamber of Commerce for Sturminster Newton and surrounding area. Both organisations are especially concerned to help local businesses as best we can in these difficult times for them. Fortunately, we seem to have been less damaged economically so far compared with some of our neighbouring towns.</p>	

	<p>Public Participation: Mr Colls raised concerns about a motorcross event which had taken place in December. He considered that this had caused noise pollution between the hours of 10am-3pm on 12 December. He was concerned that no notification had been given to local residents that the event was to take place and he feared that further events would take place in the future as non-competitive events could take place up to 28 times per year. Cllr Batstone advised that any complaints should be made to the Environmental complaints department. She had advised Mr Hayle, Environmental Officer of the concerns raised by Mr Colls.</p> <p>Mr Beresford advised that the drains in Upper Street and Bowey were blocked, causing water to pour down the roads. Cllr Batstone suggested reporting this to Mr Adrian Steele, Highways Officer and asking for the drain suction vehicle be booked to clear the drains. Mr Burt raised concerns that no reports were published by the Parish Council along with the agenda and that Members of the public were not allowed to speak once the public session was over. He considered this to be undemocratic as members of the public were not fully aware of the issues until they were discussed at the meeting.</p>	Clerk to report to Highways.
6.	<p>Update on Open Items:</p> <p>Recreation Ground: Cllr S Corben advised that he had set the heating to come on for a period of time at night to prevent any pipes from freezing.</p> <p>Bowey Field: Cllr S Corben advised that he had reinstated the "No dogs allowed" sign which had been broken.</p> <p>Neighbourhood Planning: The Chairman advised that recommendations from the Neighbourhood Planning Working Party members was to put the Neighbourhood Plan on hold until the finding of the Government White Paper on Planning. However, the DAPTC had advised that this could take a long time and recommended that the Neighbourhood Plan should move forward to completion. It was agreed that the Neighbourhood Plan should move forward as soon as possible.</p> <p>Belchalwell and Fiddleford items: N.F.T.R.</p> <p>Darknoll Lane: Cllr Gartside advised that she had emailed Mr Skeats and Mr Dimarino of Dorset Highways seeking a way forward with this matter. No response had been received. Cllr Batstone advised the Mr Dimarino was no longer the Highways representative for Okeford Fitzpaine, this was now Mr Adrian Steele.</p> <p>Little/Mill Lane Signage: Cllr Gartside advised that Cllr Batstone would be speaking to Cllr Jespersen to ascertain the best way forward.</p> <p>Gallops at Stockhold Bottom: Cllr Batstone advised that she would chase this issue again.</p> <p>Museum: Cllr S Corben felt that a sensor light should be fitted to light up the defibrillator in case it be required at night time. Cllr S Corben proposed asking an electrician to fit a light. This was seconded by Cllr Plummer and agreed by Council.</p> <p>Climate Response: N.F.T.R.</p> <p>Illegal opening in Shillingstone Lane: The Clerk advised that the Enforcement Officer had responded that no further action would be taken. It was agreed to rename this item as Parking on the verge at Shillingstone Lane. Cllr Gartside advised that she had passed the concern to Mr Skeats, Highways Officer, no response had yet been received and this would now be raised Mr Steele from Highways.</p> <p>Grants: Cllr Rowe advised that she was currently looking at one available grant to help the health and wellbeing of the Parish.</p> <p>Offer of trees for planting: Cllr S Corben advised that the trees on offer were quite well established. He added that 2 oak trees would be planted at the top corner of the recreation ground on Saturday 9 January and the 1 birch tree would be planted at Bowey Playing Field.</p> <p>Fippenny News Review: Cllr Rowe advised that the printers were now in lockdown and the February issue of the magazine would only be available in digital format.</p> <p>Access to Village Hall: The Clerk advised that no response had been received from the owners of 62 Greenhayes. The Chairman agreed to speak to the occupiers directly.</p> <p>Seat at Recreation Ground: Cllr Gartside suggested a resin bench be installed as this would be low maintenance. Mr Burt advised that the old bench from The Cross was available but would need a replacement middle slat. It was agreed that this would be look at for suitability of placing at the recreation ground.</p> <p>Illegal Parking at Village Hall: The Chairman agreed to speak to the occupiers on this issue as no response had been received from the owners of the property.</p> <p>Replacement Noticeboard for Belchalwell: Clerk to order new noticeboard now dimensions were known. Noticeboard to be fitted by Cllr S Corben.</p> <p>CPRE Funding for Fingerposts: Cllr Gartside advised that the fingerpost at Okeford Common had now been replaced. It was agreed to discharge this item from the agenda.</p>	<p>Cllr Gartside agreed to contact Mr Steele</p> <p>Cllr S Corben to organise the fitting of a light.</p> <p>Cllr Gartside agreed to contact Mr Steele</p> <p>Chairman to contact</p> <p>Chairman to contact</p>

7	<p>OTHER ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION:</p> <p>Additional tree planting in the Parish: Cllr Gartside reported that the “Dorset for Trees” project would advise on which trees would be suitable for the Parish and suggest suitable locations for the trees. Trees would be supplied at cost price. Cllr Batstone suggested looking at the Woodland Trust as well, as this organisation might be able to supply trees for free. Cllr Gartside proposed that advice be sought on suitable trees and locations before the Parish Council consulted with residents to ascertain if more trees were wanted in the village. This was seconded by Cllr Rowe and agreed by Council.</p> <p>Repairs to the drain at Little/Mill Lane before resurfacing: Cllr Gartside advised that she was still awaiting a report from Richard Moore.</p> <p>CCTV Camera for Recreation Ground: Cllr S Corben felt that the recreation ground suffered from very little damage and did not warrant the cost of CCTV cameras being installed. This was agreed by Council. Cllr Gartside suggested a wildlife camera be fitted. It was agreed to add this suggestion to the agenda for the next meeting.</p> <p>Track N Trail motorcross rallies at Playdell’s Farm Land: Mr Burt advised that he had received an email from the Environmental Health Department of Dorset Council advising the best way to submit a complaint. Cllr S Corben advised that rules and regulations were in place to cover such events and if people had a problem, they should submit a complaint individually. The landowner advised that he was in touch with Mr Hayle, Environmental Health Officer at Dorset Council seeking advice on the best way to run this event if it were to continue. Cllr Plummer requested that if future events were to go ahead it would be preferable if this was communicated to the PC and advertised to the community so everyone had the option to perhaps have a day out away from the disruption.</p>	Cllr Gartside to seek advice on suitable trees and locations.
<p>8.</p> <p>8.1</p> <p>8.2</p> <p>8.3</p> <p>8.4</p>	<p>PLANNING MATTERS:</p> <p>APPLICATIONS:</p> <p>P/LBC/2020/00202 – Myrtle Cottage, Greenhayes, Okeford Fitzpaine, DT11 0RE – Rethatch Roof. Following discussions Cllr S Corben proposed no objection to this application. This was seconded by Cllr Gartside and agreed by Council.</p> <p>P/HOU/2020/00170 – Etheridge Farm, Darknoll Lane, Okeford Fitzpaine, DT11 0RP - Erection of single storey extension - Following discussions Cllr S Corben proposed no objection to this application. This was seconded by Cllr Gartside and agreed by Council.</p> <p>P/HOU/2020/00259 – Castle Cottage, Castle Lane, Okeford Fitzpaine, DT11 0RL - Enlarge first floor window to form doorway to ease access to existing storage area. Build external oak staircase to enable access - Following discussions Cllr S Corben proposed no objection to this application. This was seconded by Cllr Rowe and agreed by Council.</p> <p>P/LBC/2020/00260 – Castle Cottage, Castle Lane, Okeford Fitzpaine, DT11 0RL - Enlarge first floor window to form doorway to ease access to existing storage area. Build external oak staircase to enable access - Following discussions Cllr S Corben proposed no objection to this application. This was seconded by Cllr Rowe and agreed by Council.</p> <p>P/FUL/2020/00262 - Mill Farm, Shillingstone Lane, Okeford Fitzpaine, DT11 0RB – Erect 2 No. agricultural buildings for the accommodation of livestock and the storage of fodder, machinery, equipment and materials. (Demolish existing agricultural buildings) - Following discussions Cllr S Corben proposed no objection to this application. This was seconded by Cllr Rowe and agreed by Council. Cllr Gartside asked that a drainage ditch be installed to alleviate the problems with drainage in the area. The landowner advised that he would be happy to install a drainage ditch along the site to Cookwell stream.</p> <p>DECISIONS: None</p> <p>PLANNING COMMITTEE UPDATE: The Planning Committee had not met since the last meeting.</p> <p>ANY OTHER PLANNING MATTERS: None</p>	<p>Clerk to inform Dorset Council</p>
9.	<p>CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED SINCE LAST MEETING:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DAPTC - Second Phase of DAPTC Constitution Review - Area Committees Survey (Northern Area Committee) • DAPTC Training e-news December 2020 • DCA's Latest News - Community Support for your Organisation. • DAPTC AGM 2020 - post event news • NALC online events • DAPTC E-News 7th December '20 • DAPTC Weekly E-News update • DAPTC E-News 11th December '20 • NALC – Chief Executive’s Bulletin 11 December 2020 • Latest news and information from Dorset Council, 11 December • Next Climate & Ecological Emergency Support - Councillors Catch-up Weds 16th Dec 12.30pm 	

- DAPTC - Northern Area Newsletter etc.
- Dorset Community Action - Your Invitation to our Networking Session
- DCA's Latest News - Community Support for your Organisation
- Dorset Community Action - Your Invitation to our Annual General Meeting
- DAPTC - COVID19 Vaccination Message Campaign
- NALC – Corona Virus Update
- NALC – Chief Executive's Bulletin 20 December 2020
- Dorset CPRE December News including latest in the planning campaign
- Dorset Community Action - Merry Christmas from DCA
- Latest news and information from Dorset Council - COVID vaccination stakeholder update, 23 December
- Dorset Council - COVID-19 - Dorset to move to Tier 3 restrictions from 31 December
- December's C&EE Support Meeting notes and upcoming events
- Dorset Community Action - Latest Government Updates - Your Support Webinar Invitation
- NALC – Coronavirus Update
- Information from Dorset Council - lockdown, 5 January
- Email from a member of the public – The Chairman read the email as follows:

Introduction

Firstly, I'd like to say that I very much believe in letting elected officials get on with running things but having had to bite my tongue over certain parish matters for some time now it has got to the point where I feel I have to say something.

Parish Precept

This parish has for many years had one of the highest precepts of the villages in North Dorset, I've never really understood the reason for that. The last council did their best to keep annual increases low and in fact in 2018 the precept decreased by 1.7%. In this council's first year, 2020, we were hit with a whopping inflation busting increase of 10.7% which has probably now propelled the village to the top of the list of precepts. I haven't seen any explanation for this and I have to ask the question why the large increase? Given the increases the council must have had through the additional housing that has been built over the last few years I would have thought finances should be pretty sound. Having read about suggestions that council funds could be used on tasks that are not council responsibilities that has raised alarm bells for me. If the council has funds to do such a thing then why the huge rise in precept?

Neighbourhood Plan

The Neighbourhood Plan is, in my view, the single most important task that the council has had over the last 5 years or more and all that's ever reported is 'we're waiting to speak to Mr Weeks or Mr Banning', both of whom left the council over 18 months ago, or there's nothing to report. I note from the minutes of the November PC meeting that advice will be sought from Mr Weeks as to whether work on the Neighbourhood Plan should carry on. I have just one question and that is, why?

The December minutes record that work on the plan should now stop pending the passing of a government white paper on planning. How long is that expected to take and isn't there a bit more to the plan than just planning for housing? This looks to me like another excuse to 'kick the can down the road' and put off just getting on with the work.

If the council is unable to get this plan completed why don't you go to Dorset council and admit that the money they provided has been wasted and you can't complete the plan. I would remind you though, if you do that, you will have let the parish down very badly indeed. It seems to me, looking at the November PC meeting minutes, that there was probably much more discussion about a bench than there was about the Neighbourhood Plan. I have to ask, has the council got its priorities right?

Benches

I note the decision to install a bench at the top of the rec, a place by a councillor's own admission, that no-one visits. Why on earth is the council spending money on a place that no-one goes to? On the subject of benches there's one half way up Okeford Hill that has probably one of the best views in Dorset. It is now sadly very much in decline through lack of care and is no longer useable. Why couldn't that be refurbished instead? I believe the council is just wasting public money on someone's personal ego trip and incidentally where did the money come from for this bench? If it was planned for and in this year's budget then fair enough, if it wasn't and the council has spare cash this year then the precept must surely have been set too high. After all a budget should be set for the year and that should indicate what the precept for the year needs to be to meet that budget. Outside of unexpected income there should not be large amounts of spare cash. This goes back to my question above about the level of the increase in the precept.

The 'Illegal Opening'

The saga of the 'illegal opening' on Shillingstone Lane has gone on for some years, recently it did look like a conclusion had at last been reached and the matter would be closed however it is now alleged by the council that the owner has damaged the verge and is causing erosion by parking on it. Then having said I thought that a conclusion had been reached on planning I note from the December minutes it has now been raised again and the council is yet again pursuing the matter with Dorset council. As far as damage to verges goes, from what I've seen the owner has strimmed the verge and cleared and disposed of rubbish thus improving the appearance of that part of the lane The council's continuing pursuit of the owner makes it look petty and vindictive and is, in my view, bordering on harassment. Why can't the council just leave the owners alone to get on with their lives?

If the council is intent on harassing this one landowner then what is being done about the other examples of damage to verges around the village? I've never seen any mention of action being taken on them and quite frankly the idea of the council installing posts along the verge should Dorset council not wish to do so is a ridiculous waste of parish funds.

I note that the council has now turned its attention to Greenhayes and the matter of a four inch encroachment into the highway. Quite honestly, I find this ridiculous.

If you want an example of something where I believe the council should be involved, what is being done about Back Lane? When planning was granted for the building of the stables at the top of Back Lane the traffic analysis concluded there would be one extra traffic movement a day. Over the years the lane has been widened to probably half as much again from its original width and has recently been levelled and tarmacked. How has one traffic movement a day resulted in this being allowed to happen thus ruining what was once a lovely old country lane?

The 20mph Speed Restriction

Another subject that was allowed to drag on for far too long just like the 'illegal opening'. It was obvious at a very early stage that a 20mph speed restriction was not going to happen and yet the council allowed the matter to drag on for months. Why couldn't the subject just be dropped and efforts concentrated on something else that might have been achievable?

Old Orchard

I note that £1500 has recently been paid for the installation of a fence round the piece of land at the old orchard presumably to safeguard the children playing there. I would say that the fence will not stop children getting onto the road if they want to, they will either climb over the bottom rail or slip under it. That type of fencing is meant for keeping control of large animals like cattle and horses, not for small children. As for bollards to keep cars off it, when was the last time a car was driven on there while children were playing? How long will it be now before the council is asked to supply play equipment despite there already being two play areas in the village with equipment. I don't buy this business of Lower St being too busy and dangerous for children to cross to get to Bowey field. If a child is old enough to go to the playpark alone it is surely old enough to cross the road, if it's not then it should be accompanied so what's the problem. After all children walk along and cross over Lower St every school day without any problem. The whole thing just seems to me to be a complete waste of public money. If it's decided that play equipment is needed on the Old Orchard then I hope the council will give consideration to moving the existing equipment from Bowey field as it can't be of much use there if Lower St is so dangerous.

Darknoll Lane

I see that the council is now turning its attention to Darknoll Lane. I have to ask why? If the council has reserves that can be spent on projects that are not the responsibility of the Parish Council then why was so high an increase in precept required. Remember the finger posts, what started as a tidy up of a couple of the finger posts in the centre of the village prior to 'best kept village' judging ended up with the council replacing or refurbishing all of the finger posts in the village. This work was not the responsibility of the Parish Council.

Grit bin

While I'm on it I'd also like to draw the council's attention to the grit bin in Bowey. When it was first announced that a grit bin was to be located at the top of the hill in Bowey I wrote to the council suggesting and requesting that the bin be located at the entrance to Bowey rather than at the top of the hill. Surely everyone knows that in snowy and icy conditions traction is need at the bottom of a hill not at the top. This request was subsequently ignored and the bin was located at the top of the hill where I guarantee it will be of little use. An example of good intentions that subsequently fail to deliver.

Summary

I believe the council has been and still is mis-guided in pursuing some of the issues in which it is involved and should be concentrating on work that is a clear council responsibility, a priority and is achievable, top of the list for me is the Neighbourhood Plan. I believe the council should stop harassing people over what are very trivial matters, it does not show the council in a very good light.

	<p>Clear objectives, timelines and costs should be set, agreed and maintained at all times. If the council hasn't published the budget that leads to the setting of the precept then you should do so in order that the public can see where their money is being spent and understand why the precept is what it is.</p> <p>I appreciate that I have raised a number of issues, but it reflects the increasing frustration I have felt for some time now concerning the performance of the Parish Council and I'm trying to be constructive here. I hope you will take this in the spirit in which it is meant.</p> <p>I wish you a very happy and healthy new year.</p> <p>Although the member of the public who submitted this letter was not present at the meeting the Chairman responded to some of the points raised as follows:</p> <p>Precept – The Parish Council had not increased the precept for the year 2021/22 and currently has healthy reserves to be used for future maintenance and improvements of its assets and obligations. It is hopeful that subsequent Precepts could be reduced.</p> <p>Neighbourhood Plan – It had now been decided to move forward with the neighbourhood plan as reported during the meeting.</p> <p>Benches – It was agreed to look at the bench halfway up Okeford Hill with the intention to repair it.</p> <p>Illegal Opening at Shillingstone Lane – This item had now been dealt with and had been discharged from the agenda.</p> <p>20mph speed limit – The Parish Council had listened to the people of the Parish and had done everything possible to obtain a 20mph speed limit in the village, but further investigations will continue through a working party once restrictions allow.</p> <p>Old Orchard and Mary Gardens – The fence had been installed for the protection of children and looked good. Cars had parked on the land in the past, hence the fitting of the posts.</p> <p>Darknoll Lane – This item had been raised by a member of the public and should be investigated to see what could be done but no commitment has been made.</p> <p>Grit Bin – The new grit bin was now situated in the middle of Bowey to allow more people to use it if required.</p> <p>Cllr S Corben spoke in relation to Greenhayes and felt that the entrance should be maintained at the correct width to protect access to the village hall area.</p> <p>The Chairman agreed to speak with the resident about these concerns.</p>	Chairman to speak to resident.																						
10.	<p>REPRESENTATIVES REPORTS:</p> <p>Rights of Way/Footpaths: Mr Gartside advised that he had sent out maps of the footpaths to some residents. Hardcore had been put under a couple of kissing gates and mud clearing had taken place in Little/Mill Lane.</p> <p>Transport: N.F.T.R.</p> <p>Football Club: Cllr S Corben advised that no football could take place at the moment due to the covid-19 situation.</p> <p>DAPTC: The Chairman advised that he had undertaken planning training.</p> <p>Village Community Group: N.F.T.R.</p> <p>Village Hall: Cllr Rowe advised that the village hall was again closed due to the covid-19 situation. The recent Christmas fair had raised in the region of £1300.00. She advised that the secretary of the Village Hall Management Committee was standing down for the post.</p> <p>School: N.F.T.R</p> <p>Tree Officer: N.F.T.R.</p> <p>History Society: Mr Vickers advised that the History Society meetings had been suspended due to Covid-19.</p> <p>Church: Cllr Gartside advised that the phone mast was going ahead in the near future.</p>																							
11. 11.1 11.2	<p>FINANCIAL MATTERS:</p> <p>Accounts paid since last meeting: None</p> <p>Accounts to be paid:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Parish Mag Printers – Fippenny News Printing</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>£110.00</td> <td>Chq No. 1279</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DAPTC _ Councillor Planning Training</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>£39.00</td> <td>Chq No. 1280</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mrs S Deary – Salary</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>£369.10</td> <td>Chq No. 1281</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HMRC – Employee PAYE</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>£290.00</td> <td>Chq No. 1282</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Southern Electric – Bus Shelter Electric</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>£48.70</td> <td>Chq No. 1283</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Seiretto Ltd – Website hosting and registration</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Parish Mag Printers – Fippenny News Printing		£110.00	Chq No. 1279	DAPTC _ Councillor Planning Training		£39.00	Chq No. 1280	Mrs S Deary – Salary		£369.10	Chq No. 1281	HMRC – Employee PAYE		£290.00	Chq No. 1282	Southern Electric – Bus Shelter Electric		£48.70	Chq No. 1283	Seiretto Ltd – Website hosting and registration		
Parish Mag Printers – Fippenny News Printing																								
£110.00	Chq No. 1279																							
DAPTC _ Councillor Planning Training																								
£39.00	Chq No. 1280																							
Mrs S Deary – Salary																								
£369.10	Chq No. 1281																							
HMRC – Employee PAYE																								
£290.00	Chq No. 1282																							
Southern Electric – Bus Shelter Electric																								
£48.70	Chq No. 1283																							
Seiretto Ltd – Website hosting and registration																								

11.3 11.4 11.5	<p>£405.60 Chq No. 1284 It was proposed by Cllr Rowe and seconded by Cllr S Corben that these be paid. This was agreed by Council.</p> <p>Receipts: £15.00 Fippenny News subscriptions.</p> <p>Finance Review: The Clerk had distributed the accounts. No issues were raised.</p> <p>Any other Financial Matters:</p>	
12.	<p>ITEMS FOR THE AGENDA OF THE NEXT MEETING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wildlife camera for recreation ground 	
13.	<p>THE NEXT MEETING: The next Parish Council meeting will be held on Tuesday 2 February 2021 at 7.30pm via Zoom. The Chairman thanked all present and closed the meeting at 9.45pm</p>	